

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
AHMED OMAIS SERHAN,

Petitioner,

19-cr-764 (PKC)
24-cv-9234(PKC)

-against-

ORDER

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

-----X
CASTEL, U.S.D.J.


On July 1, 2025, Mr. Serhan's fully executed Attorney-Client Privilege Waiver was docketed (24 Civ. 9234, ECF 12).

The schedule for a declaration by Former Counsel, an answer by the government to the section 2255 motion, and defendants reply is as set forth in the Order of May 15, 2025 (19 Cr. 764, ECF 83) (copy attached).

The motion to compel a response from the government (24 Civ. 9234, ECF 10) is DENIED as moot.

All future submission of the parties shall be filed on the docket of 19 Cr. 764 (PKC).

SO ORDERED.


P. Kevin Castel
United States District Judge

Dated: New York, New York
July 8, 2025

MAILED TO:

Ahmed Omais Serhan
No. 45271-509
FCI Oxford
Federal Correctional Institution
P.O. Box 1000
Oxford, WI 53952

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
v.	19 Cr. 764 (PKC)
AHMED OMAIS SERHAN, a/k/a "Pache," a/k/a "Pacheco Nasser," a/k/a "El Tigre," and Defendant.	
AHMED OMAIS SERHAN	
Petitioner,	24 Civ. 9234 (PKC)
v.	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Respondent.	

Order re: Attorney-Client Privilege Waiver (Informed Consent)

WHEREAS defendant AHMED OMAIS SERHAN (the "defendant") has filed a motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 based on allegations of ineffective assistance of counsel; and

WHEREAS the Government has represented that the testimony of the defendant's former counsel Mark J. Stein, Esq. ("Former Counsel") will be needed in order to allow the Government to respond to the performance claims in the motion; and

WHEREAS the Court, after reviewing the motion papers, is satisfied that the testimony of Former Counsel is needed in order to allow the Government to respond to the motion; and

WHEREAS by making the motion, the defendant has waived the attorney-client privilege as a matter of law; and

WHEREAS the Court is cognizant that, absent court order or informed consent, ethical concerns may inhibit Former Counsel from disclosing confidential information relating to a prior client even in the absence of a privilege, see, *e.g.*, ABA Standing Comm. on Ethics and Prof. Responsibility Formal Op. 10-456 (July 14, 2010), *Disclosure of Information to Prosecutor When Lawyer's Former Client Brings Ineffective Assistance of Counsel Claim*,


IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the defendant shall execute and file within thirty days from the date of this Order the accompanying "Attorney-Client Privilege Waiver (Informed Consent)" form; and it is further

ORDERED that, within thirty from the date that the executed "Attorney-Client Privilege Waiver (Informed Consent)" is filed, Former Counsel shall give sworn testimony, in the form of a declaration, addressing the allegations of ineffective assistance of counsel made by the defendant.

ORDERED that, within thirty days from the date as of which Former Counsel's declaration has been filed, the Government shall file an answer or other pleadings in response to the motion. The defendant shall have thirty days from the date on which he is served with the Government's answer to file a response. Absent further order, the motion will be considered fully submitted as of that date.

Dated: New York, New York

May 15, 2025


HON. P. KEVIN CASTEL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

19 Cr. 764 (PKC)

AHMED OMAIS SERHAN,
a/k/a "Pache,"
a/k/a "Pacheco Nasser,"
a/k/a "El Tigre," and

Defendant.

AHMED OMAIS SERHAN

Petitioner,

24 Civ. 9234 (PKC)

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

Attorney-Client Privilege Waiver (Informed Consent)

To: AHMED OMAIS SERHAN

You have made a motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 on the ground of ineffective assistance of counsel by your former attorney, Mark J. Stein, Esq. In light of your moving papers, the Court has determined that it needs to have a sworn testimonial statement from your former attorney in order to evaluate your motion.

By making this motion, you have waived the attorney-client privilege you had with your former attorney to the extent relevant to determining your claims. This means that if you wish to press your claims of ineffective assistance, you cannot keep the communications between yourself and your former attorney a secret—you must allow them to be disclosed to the Government and to the Court pursuant to court order. The Court has already issued an Order ordering your former attorney to give such testimony, in the form of a declaration. This Informed Consent form is designed to ensure that you fully understand and agree to this.

Specifically, if you wish to proceed with your motion on the basis that you received ineffective assistance of counsel, you must sign this statement and return it to the Court. The form constitutes your authorization to your former attorney to disclose confidential communications (1)

only in response to a court order, and (2) only to the extent necessary to shed light on the allegations of ineffective assistance of counsel that are raised by your motion. You should know that if you sign this authorization, you run the risk that your former attorney will contradict your statements about his representation of you. However, you should also know that the Court may deny your motion if you do not authorize your former attorney to give an affidavit in response to the Court's attached Order.

You must return this form with your signature within thirty days from the date on which you are served with this Order. If the Court does not receive this form with your signature within that time, the Court may deny your motion.

AUTHORIZATION

I have read the Court's Order dated _____ and this document headed Attorney-Client Privilege Waiver (Informed Consent). I hereby authorize my former attorney, Mark J. Stein, Esq., to comply with the Court's Order by giving testimony, in the form ordered by the Court, relating to my motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 on the ground of ineffective assistance of counsel. This authorization allows my former attorney to testify only pursuant to court order, and only to the extent necessary to shed light on the allegations of ineffective assistance of counsel that are raised by my motion.

AHMED OMAIS SERHAN

DATE